Leading for Rigorous and Relevant Literacy Part IV



International Center for Leadership in Education

With Lin Kuzmich Senior Consultant, ICLE Port Huron Area School District High Schools April 2012

Lin's email: <u>kuzenergy@gmail.com</u> Website: <u>www.KuzmichConsulting.com</u> International Center for Leadership in Education <u>http://www.LeadersEd.com</u>





High Payoff Literacy Strategies are in these Categories: The Big 8



- Student Dialogue and Grouping
 Write to Learn
 Graphic Organizers and Note Takers
 Teacher and Student Questioning
 Document, Technological, and Quantitative Literacy Strategies
 Leveled Materials and Digital, Multi-Media Resources
 - Text and Media Complexity Access





The Power of Writing on Improving Learning







Graphic Organizers Work for Review

- Check whether background knowledge is more prevalent and independent
- Create from notes or other resources
- Offer choice with type of organizer or even content based on formative assessments or practice or just personal preference (this is an excellent form of differentiation)

Kuzmich, 2012





Increase Rigor with		Event I. Knowled	Situation Ige	choice	Person	R BBSON IL Comp Applic	rehension ation
Student	Present	1. What Is?	2. Where/ When Is?	3. Which Is?	4. Who Is?	5. Why Is?	6. How Is?
Questioning: Start with the	Past	7. What Did?	8. Where/ When Did?	9. Which Did?	10. Who Did?	11. Why Did?	12. How Did?
"Q-Matrix"	Possibility	13. What Can?	14. Where/ When Can?	15. Which Can?	16. Who Can?	17. Why Can?	18. How Can?
	Probability	19. What Would?	20. Where/ When Would?	21. Which Would?	22. Who Would?	23. Why Would?	24. How Would?
	Predictability	25. What Will?	26. Where/ When Will?	27. Which Will?	28. Who Will?	29. Why Will?	30. How Will?
	Imagination	31. What Might?	32. Where/ When Might?	33. Which Might?	34. Who Might?	35. Why Might?	36. How Might?
		III. Synthesis	& Analysis	K agan, 1998	IV. S	lynthesis &	Analysis 23



Kuzmich, 2012 All Rightrs Reserved.

Q – Matrix

	1	
What is?	Where or When is?	Which is?
What did?	Where or When did?	Which did?
What can?	Where or When can?	Which can?

2 Who Why is? How is? is? Why Who How did? did? did? Why Who How can? can? can?

	3			
What would?	Where or When would?	Which would?	Who would?	
What will?	Where or When will?	Which will?	Who will?	
What might?	Where or When might?	Which might?	Who might?	

4Who
would?Why
would?How
would?Who
will?Why
will?How
will?Who
might?Why
might?How
might?

Chuck Wiederhold and Spencer Kagan, <u>Cooperative Learning and Higher Level Thinking: The</u> <u>Q Matrix</u>. Kagan Cooperative Learning. 1998.

Q- Matrix Match Up Strips

Word	Condition Word	Word	Condition Word	Word	Condition Word
What?	is	What?	is	What?	is
Where or When?	did	Where or When?	did	Where or When?	did
Which?	can	Which?	can	Which?	can
Who?	would	Who?	would	Who?	would
Why?	will	Why?	will	Why?	will
How?	might	How?	might	How?	might

Q- Matrix Bookmarks

Event	Situation	Choice	Person	Reason	Means
What is?	Where or When is?	Which is?	Who is?	Why is?	How is?
What did?	Where or When did?	Which did?	Who did?	Why did?	How did?
What can?	Where or When can?	Which can?	Who can?	Why can?	How can?
What would?	Where or When would?	Which would?	Who would?	Why would?	How would?
What will?	Where or When will?	Which will?	Who will?	Why will?	How will?
What might?	Where or When might?	Which might?	Who might?	Why might?	How might?

Q- Matrix Bookmarks

Present	Past	Possibility	Probability	Prediction	Evaluation
What	What	What	What	What	What
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?
Where	Where	Where	Where	Where	Where
or	or	or	or	or	or
When	When	When	When	When	When
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?
Which	Which	Which	Which	Which	Which
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?
Who	Who	Who	Who	Who	Who
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?
Why	Why	Why	Why	Why	Why
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?
How	How	How	How	How	How
is?	did?	can?	would?	will?	might?

Standards for Thinking

Copyright by Linda Elder and Richard Paul, 2002 Foundation for Critical Thinking

Clarity	Logicalness
Could you elaborate?	Does all of this make sense
Could you illustrate what you mean?	together?
Could you give me an example?	Does your first paragraph fit in with
5 6 1	your last?
Accuracy	Does what you say follow from the
How could we check on that?	evidence?
How could we find out if that is	
true?	Significance
How could we verify or test that?	Is this the most important problem
	to consider?
Precision	Is this the central idea to focus on?
Could you be more specific?	Which of these facts are the most
Could you give me more details?	important?
Could you be more exact?	
	Breadth
Denth	Do we need to look at this from
What factors make this a difficult	another perspective?
nrohlem?	Do we need to consider another
What are some of the complexities	point of view?
of this question?	So we need to look at this in other
What are some of the difficulties we	ways?
need to deal with?	
	Fairness
Relevance	Is my thinking justifiable in context?
How does that relate to the	Are my assumptions supported by
problem?	evidence?
How does that bear on the	Is my purpose fair given the
question?	situation?
How does that help us with the	Am I using my concepts in keeping
issue?	with educated usage or am I
	distorting them to get what I want?

Beginner's Version of Standards for Thinking

Sample Ideas from: Copyright by Linda Elder, 2001, <u>The Miniature Guide to Critical Thinking for Children</u>

Be Clear – Don't Confuse People

Ways to know if you	We need to understand:	Tips for communicating Clearly:
are being clear:	What we are saying.	Let me tell you what I mean.
Can you state what you	What we are hearing.	Let me give you an example.
mean?	What we are reading.	Could you tell me what you mean?
Can you give		Could you say that in other words?
examples?		I'm Confused. Could you explain what
		you mean?
		Let me tell you what I think you said.
		Tell me if I'm right?

Be Accurate – Make sure it's true

Ways to know if you	We need to understand:	Tips for Making Certain Something
are being accurate:	When we say what is true	is Accurate:
Are you sure it's true?	or correct we are accurate.	How could we find out I this is really
How do you know it is	When we aren't sure	true?
true?	whether something is true,	How can we check this?
Can you prove it is	we check to see if it is.	How could we test this idea to see if it
true?		is true?
		How do you know what you are saying
		is true?

Be Relevant – Make sure you stay on track

Ways to know if you	We need to understand:	Tips for staying on track and
are being relevant:	The problem you are trying	relevant:
Is it related to what we	to solve.	How does what you say relate to the
are thinking about?	The question you are trying	problem?
Can you prove it is	to answer.	How does this information relate to the
relevant?	Whatever you are talking	question we are asking?
Does your answer	about or writing.	What will help us solve the problem?
make sense given the	_	How does what you say relate to what
question?		we are talking about?

Be Logical – Make sure everything fits together

Ways to know if you	We need to understand:	Tips for being logical:
are being logical:	How things fit together.	This doesn't make sense to me. Can
Does it all fit together?	Why things fit together.	you show me how it all fits together?
Can you explain how	Whether things fit together.	The sentences in this paragraph don't
everything fits		seem to belong together. How can I
together?		rewrite it so that the sentences all fit
-		together better?
		What you are saying doesn't sound
		logical. How did you come to your
		conclusions?
		Explain why this makes sense to you.

5. Short Constructed Responses or **Open Ended Responses**

- Explain and Describe
- Summarize
- Analyze
- Persuade or Defend
- Justify or Evaluate

Please see your handout packet for more information, graphic organizers and strategies.

Also try Completion Thinking Frames for students who struggle.

ABC Protocol

A = Address the prompt Use the verb and/or key noun (or use a synonym) from the prompt in your topic sentence, hook or thesis statement

B = Back it up Cite evidence, use data, use quotes, use main ideas and details, use research or your background experience/point of view (depends on type of writing, topic and content)

C = Conclude your thinkingUse author's final point or quote, restate your premise or conclusion and indicate importance or impact, report what you proved, call to action, a question you are left with, or a possible solution to a problem

Kuzmich, 2011

Completion Thinking Frames

- . Use sentence frames for analytic thinking
- Add justification where appropriate
- Focus on:
- Parts to whole relationships and whole to parts
- Similarities and differences
- Compare and contrast
- Cause and effect
- Justify, cite reason, specify how you arrived at that thought or conclusion
- Increases rigorous thinking required by the new
- standards and assessments.
- Works well to scaffold better writing for struggling learners

Suggested Protocol for Teaching Completion Frames for Thinking

- 1. Model the completion for thinking sentences for your students. Share several examples, model use of notes or resources, model a great dialog, and model the completed sentence.
- 2. Create a picture or example for each blank or an example, have students put into words. Teacher models, students help by offering suggestions.
- 3. Give students first part of sentence and have them finish it from their notes, word walls or other resources. Students may work with a partner. Share examples.
- 4. Have students work with a partner to create an example together. Share examples.
- 5. Have students independently create a completion frame for thinking.
- 6. Given this scaffolding an practice you can now use completion frames for closings, frames or finishing statements for graphic organizers, review for quizzes, on quizzes to check for level of thinking about the content.

Examples of Completion Frames for Level 2 and Level 3 Thinking

When, then	Since, then		
depends on	If is tothen is like		
is a Function of	·		
is a part of	Thisreminds me of because		
If, then	Because/Since, I predict		
If is true then is false.	Adding to changes		
If is false, then is true.	My conclusion supports because		
makesdo	Ifwould not have occurred, then would be different, because		
If you change, will happen is the same asbecause happens because	I believe was justified for because While was occurring, was being affected by		
This is like me (or my family) because	might have been prevented, if instead of		
I know because it makes sense that If you take out/away/off will happen.	Given these conditions,, the outcome would be		
Ifwas used as then	(History) changes during, because		
is the opposite of because	If I were in position I would because		
·	·		
adapts to	causedbecause		
If is less than/greater than then	was the most important factor of because		

Your possible "to do" list: Implement what you learned today about the brain and learning all during the coming school year.

Select two or more strategies to get you started with brain based learning and content based literacy instruction Students who can read, write, speak, and listen with rigor and relevance can do anything given a caring environment!



May Your Moments be Many!



"Educators are addicted to the moment when a student's eyes light up, when the teaching becomes learning. May your days be filled with such moments."

ich, 2011

Philip Patrick Horenstein

Kuzmich, 2011



Guide to Expository Short Constructed Responses©



With Lin Kuzmich 2011, updated April 2012

©All Rights Reserved. Permission to copy is granted only within this district. Do not store on an Internet site, only store on a password protected Intranet on district server.

Lin's email: <u>kuzenergy@gmail.com</u> Website: <u>www.KuzmichConsulting.com</u> Office: 970-669-2290 Kuzmich Consulting Services, Inc.

Expository Short Constructed Responses

Quality Indicators for Grading

Standard	Explain or	Summarize	Analyze	Persuade or	Evaluate or Justify
A = Address the Prompt Use the verb and/or key noun (or a synonym) from the prompt in you topic sentence or thesis statement.	Student states what they were explaining or describing.	Student states the main idea or topic.	Student conveys what is being compared or can identify the causes and effects or state what the analysis will include.	Students state the issue or what was controversial with clarity in the thesis.	Students state what the justification or evaluation topic is about with clarity.
B = Back it Up Cite evidence, use data, use quotes use big ideas and details, use research, or examples.	 Student includes the important steps or details. Students convey enough explanation or description so that an audience could understand or be able to picture what was explained or described. 	Student includes the most important information or supporting details about this topic.	Students describe important evidence, facts, comparisons, data, research, causes or effects.	 Students express a viewpoint and support the evidence presented with quotes, research, data, or other sources. In defense based SCRs (not persuasive) students also state why the other point of view is wrong using evidence. 	 Students state examples to show clear thinking. Students describe where they got their thinking to show accuracy of assumptions. Students thinking match the prompt and criteria are relevant to the issue or topic. Students show logical connections a clear sequence or the parts and big idea match.
C = Conclude your Thinking Restate your premise or conclusion and indicate importance or impact, report what you proved, end with what the author said last, a question you are left with or a possible solution to a problem.	Students convey the purpose, a tip or final observation, or importance of the explanation or description.	 Students conclude using one of the following: Connect the main idea and supporting details Restate the authors' conclusion in their own words End with a great quote from the author and why the quote is important Add an important idea to the topic stated in the first sentence. 	Students draw an evidence-based conclusion.	Students use the evidence to convince the intended audience that his/her viewpoint was valid.	Students draw a valid, reasonable and/or logical conclusion for the justification or point of view in the evaluation or justification.

Directions for Teaching Expository Writing, Thinking, Speaking, and Drawing

Explain and Describe

Diagnostic – How to Grade and Evaluate:

- 1. Did the student state what they were explaining or describing?
- 2. Did the student include the important steps or details?
- 3. Did the student convey enough explanation or description so that an audience could understand or be able to picture what was explained or described?
- 4. Did the student convey the purpose or importance of the explanation or description?

Scaffolding Writing or Speaking for Explanatory and Descriptive Thinking

Have students:

- Draw a picture prior to writing a description
- Check for background information and vocabulary
- Sequence what you are explaining or describing so an audience can follow your thinking more easily
- Orally explain a visual such as a picture
- Orally explain or describe your thinking about something relevant
- Group common descriptive elements into categorizes such as color, texture, purpose, etc.
- Note patterns, sort and label categories
- Define concepts in your own words

Tips for At Risk Learners

- Use some sort of visual material or idea to teach this type of thinking
- Use sequence maps prior to writing if explaining steps or other graphic organizers as appropriate to organize thinking before writing
- Provide a chart of descriptive elements or words or have students brainstorm those words with you. The whole class can use this as a word bank when writing.
- Teach a process, explain thinking to another student such as a game, how to make something or do something relevant to the student
- Create your graphic organizer with a partner prior to writing and rehearse what you will write with your partner. For example use your graphic organizer to tell your partner what your introductory sentence will be and then write it down.
- Add relevant context or content to increase memory.

17 Explain and Describe Graphic Organizer for Grades 2-12 – Teachers can eliminate any boxes that do not pertain



Start your topic sentence with the answer to "what or why." End your paragraph or paper with what you put in the circle. Use the rest of the information to support the answer to the "what or why" of the information you are explaining or describing.



Start your topic sentence with the answer to "what or why." End your paragraph or paper with what you put in the circle. Use the rest of the information to support the answer to the "what or why" of the information you are explaining or describing.



Sequence Map for Writing a Step-by Step Explanation or Description¹⁹

Now write your explanation or description in a paragraph:

Directions for Teaching Expository Summary Writing, Thinking and Speaking

Summarize

Diagnostic – How to Grade and Evaluate:

- 1. Did the student state the maid idea or topic?
- 2. Did the student include the most important information or supporting details about this idea?
- 3. Did the student do one of the following for the conclusion to the summary:
 - a. Did the student how the main idea and the supporting information are related?
 - b. Did the student restate the author's conclusion in their own words?
 - c. Did the student end with a great quote from the author and why the quote is important?
 - d. Did the student add an important idea to the topic stated in the first sentence?

Scaffolding Writing or Speaking for Explanatory and Descriptive Thinking

Have students:

- Sequence
- Retell
- State the main idea verbally
- Orally paraphrase the relevant facts or pieces of text prior to summarizing
- Tell how the text or media or material ends and why
- Match models of summaries to actual text or sources give students three articles or pieces of expository text and three summaries. Then have students justify which summary goes with each article and why?

Tips for At Risk Learners

- Try starting a written summary with a conclusion first at the bottom of the paper, then the topic sentence and last add details when writing a summary. For some students this will create better result.
- Use visual materials such as greeting cards, picture books, websites, charts, maps, tables, graphics, comics or video clips to state teaching summary thinking before using plain text.
- Use graphic organizers to take notes and use for writing
- Color highlight materials that need summarizing or label visuals
- Use relevant or real world text to engage students

Copyright Kuzmich, L. (2008, updated 2011). All Rights Reserved. May be used for non-profit purposes at your school. May not be posted on the internet. May be stored on a school based storage system that is password protected only.

Web a Summary



Now write your summary here:

Directions for Teaching Expository Analysis Writing, Thinking and Speaking

Analyzing

Diagnostic – How to Grade and Evaluate:

- 1. Did the student know what things are being compared or can identify the causes and effects?
- 2. Did the student list important evidence, facts, comparisons, causes, and effects?
- 3. Did the student draw an evidence-based conclusion?

Scaffolding Writing or Speaking for Analytic Thinking

Have students:

- Patterns
- Same/Different
- Compare/Contrast
- Analogies
- Cause/Effect
- Complex Inference
- Data analysis or analysis of information in graphical forms
- Literary analysis as applicable to fiction
- Authentic document analysis
- Survey and analysis
- Subdivide or construct from parts

Tips for At Risk Learners

- You will need two or more elements, parts or things to compare
- Data charts work great to teach this skill
- Try using double or triple Venn diagrams or other compare and contrast templates, Cause/Effect graphic organizers, and data analysis graphic organizers
- Sort items and apply category names using such strategies as Affinity Sort, Semantic Feature Analysis or Note-Taker

Expository Organizer for Analysis



Write your analysis paragraph or short response:

Complex Analysis of Scientific, Mathematical, or Other Numerical Data – Secondary Level Graphic Organizer

24



Now write your completed analysis from the answers to the questions above. Use the first question as your introduction and the last as your conclusion.

Kuzmich, 2011

Analysis of Scientific, Mathematical, or Other Numerical Data



Now write your completed analysis from the answers to the questions above. Use the first question as your introduction and the last as your conclusion.

Kuzmich, 2012



Use these steps to guide your analysis. Use strong verbs and descriptions. Use multiple sources, quotes or other evidence to make your point if applicable.

Inference/Proof Notes: What do you infer?

What is your inference, guess or estimate?	What is your proof?

Kuzmich, L. (2007) Adapted from <u>Redefining Literacy in Grades 7-12</u>: Strategies for Document, Technological and Quantitative Literacy Rexford, NY: ICLE.

Directions for Teaching Expository Persuasive Writing, Thinking and Speaking

Persuading or Defending

Diagnostic – How to Grade and Evaluate:

- 1. Did the student state the issue or what was controversial with clarity?
- 2. Did the student express a viewpoint and support it?
- 3. Did the student use evidence to convince the intended audience that his/her viewpoint was valid?

Scaffolding Writing or Speaking for Persuasive Thinking

Have students:

- Identify the audience
- Describe the characteristics of audience in relationship to topic
- Verbalize the issue
- Know opinions versus facts
- Identify what constitutes evidence and what is irrelevant to the issue
- Write or speak from your own point of view unless prompt directs otherwise or states an audience

Tips for At Risk Learners

- Identify the audience and characteristics first. Define what they might want or be persuaded by and then write to or for that audience.
- Practice out loud with a partner before any writing.
- Listen to, watch and role play persuasive examples or examples where people defend a point of view.
- Commercials, commercial websites, and ads are great for teaching about audience and this type of thinking. So are political ads, debates, speeches.

Your Persuasive Prompt is:

		Your Notes or Initial Thoughts
Α.	What is your claim	
	or Position? (Thesis)	
_		
в.	Why are you right?	
	Use evidence, data,	
	research	
C.	Why is your	
	viewpoint critical,	
	important or	
	unique?	
Wr	ite your paragraph her	e from your notes:

Defending Your Position or Argument – Bridge Method

Follow this sequence to create your brief (4 sentence) argument or to defend your position. Prompt:



Write your paragraph from your notes:

Defending Your Position or Argument – The 6 Step Cicero Method

Follow this sequence to create your brief (8 sentence) argument or to defend your position.

1. Hook	Who is your audience?Use logic, humor, emotion		
2. Contention, Claim, Thesis	What is your contention, claim or thesis?What is the reason for contention		
3-5. Proof	Why are you right?What is your proof?		
6. Wrong Point of View	• Why is the opposing view wrong?		
7. The Big Reason	 What is the biggest, most important or significant reason you are right? 		
8. Appeal	• Call to action or emotional appeal to your audience		

Directions for Teaching Expository Justification or Evaluative Writing, Thinking and Speaking

Justifying or Evaluating

Diagnostic – How to Grade and Evaluate:

- 1. Did the student state what the justification or evaluation topic is about with clarity?
- 2. Did the student state examples to show clear thinking?
- 3. Did the student describe where they got their thinking to show accuracy of assumptions?
- 4. Did the student's thinking match the prompt and is it relevant to the issue or topic?
- 5. Did the student have a sequence or do the parts and the big idea match so the student shows logical connections?
- 6. Did the student draw a valid conclusion for the justification or point of view in the evaluation?

Scaffolding Writing or Speaking for Persuasive Thinking

Have students:

- Orally practice self-evaluation
- Give students models and ask them to compare the model to their work
- Ask students to judge someone else's work or thinking using a criteria
- Have students brainstorm possible solutions or answers
- Have students show clarity, accuracy, relevancy and logic
- Students note if the response is reasonable
- Students set a criteria for good evaluation

Tips for At Risk Learners

- Use graphic organizers that help students see the relationships between the parts and whole or the big idea and the parts
- Use real world examples to practice judging results against a criteria like videos or found print material in the home or community
- Role play possible solutions that fit the problem or issue
- Provide practice opportunities for clear thinking using examples, describing where ideas came from, matching answers to a prompt, and understanding parts to whole relationships

Justify Your Answer (Math)

Use this graphic organizer to write your justification. Write you notes under the boxes. Then create a short paragraph in the box at the bottom of the page.

Solve the problem and show your work here:



Write your justification in the box below.

Inferential Thinking



I read or I see	



I think		



Develop a Decision-Making Chart Handout B

Making a Decision

Options or Choices	Criteria			

Based on your criteria, what option did you choose? Why?

Steps	То Do	Response
1. READ	What is the author's basic message? Use a phrase or two from the author.	
2. ENCODE	Put that main message into your own words in one or two complete sentences.	
3. ANNOTATE	Analyze this message from at least three points of view. Yours and two people involved in this assignment or text.	1. 2. 3.
4. PONDER	Read your response to others in the group or class and read their responses. How are the rest of the group members' responses the same or different from yours?	
5. INTERNET SOURCES	Cite your Internet source if that is what you used. Why is this piece of information an authentic source?	

GRAPHIC ORGANIZER FOR: iREAP

1

Copyright © 2010 by Corwin. All rights reserved. Reprinted from *Student Teams That Get Results: Teaching Tools for the Differentiated Classroom* by Gayle H. Gregory and Lin Kuzmich. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin, www.corwinpress.com. Reproduction authorized only for the local school site or nonprofit organization that has purchased this book.

ABOUT LIN KUZMICH

Lin Kuzmich is an educational consultant and bestselling author from Loveland, Colorado. She served Thompson School District in several roles as the Deputy Superintendent, Executive Director of Secondary and Elementary Instruction, Director of Professional Development and she was a building principal for nine years. Lin's school was named a 2000 winner of the John R. Irwin Award for Academic Excellence and Improvement. In addition, for the past decade she was involved in staff development through several universities and the Tointon Institute for Educational Change. Lin served as an Adjunct Professor and Instructor at Colorado State University and University of Northern Colorado in the Principal Preparation Programs. She is a Senior Consultant for the International Center for Leadership in Education. Lin also provides training and consulting to school districts around the country and presents at numerous national and international conferences. Lin Kuzmich can be reached at 970-669-2290 (home/office) 970-203-4176 (cell) or kuzenergy@gmail.com and her website is www.KuzmichConsulting.com

Lin's additional experience includes: Assistant Director of Special Education (1988-1991); Vision Specialist and Reading Teacher for Thompson School District (1979-1988). She also taught high school reading, high school and middle school English/Language Arts, K-12 special education and 4th - 6th grades for Denver Public Schools (1974-79). Lin earned the *Teacher of the Year Award* for Denver Public Schools in 1979 and was *Northern Colorado Principal of the Year in 2000* for Colorado Association of School Executives.

Lin currently works with schools and districts across the country that are struggling to meet the needs of diverse learners, the requirements of AYP and the changing educational practices needed for the future success of our students. Lin's work with schools improves achievement results for students and increases the capacity of staff. Lin is passionate about helping educators prepare today's students for a successful future.

Lin's Publications:

- <u>Stretch Learning Handbook- With Units and Strategies Aligned to Common Core State Standards</u> (2011) International Center for Leadership in Education
- "Manage the Molehill Before It Becomes a Mountain: Keeping Parent Interactions Productive for Students" in <u>Leadership for Family and Community Involvement</u> Edited by Cole, Blankstein and Houston for the Soul of Leadership Series (2010) Corwin Press
- <u>Stretch Learning: Rigor and Relevance for an Unpredictable World</u> (2010) International Center for Leadership in Education. (Multi-Media Kit)
- <u>Student Team That Get Results: Teaching Tools for the Differentiated Classroom</u> (2010) Corwin Press, co-author Gayle Gregory.
- "Test Preparation Strategies that Have High and Quick Payoff," (March 2010) <u>Successful Practices Network</u> <u>Monthly Online Publication</u>
- "Ensuring Access through Differentiated Instruction" in <u>The Special EDge, Vol. 21, Num. 3</u> Summer 2008, coauthored with Dr. Willard Daggett
- <u>Redefining Literacy in Grades 7-12: Strategies for Document, Technological and Quantitative Literacy</u> (May 2007) International Center for Leadership in Education. (Multi-Media Kit)
- <u>Teacher Teams that Get Results: 61 Strategies for Sustaining and renewing Professional Learning Communities</u> (January 2007) Corwin Press, co-author Gayle Gregory. (Bestseller)
- "Redefining Literacy for the 21st Century," (2006a) <u>Successful Practices Network Monthly Online Publication</u>
- "Tips for Credit Recovery Programs," (December 2006b) <u>Successful Practices Network Monthly Online Publication</u>
- <u>Differentiated Literacy Strategies for Student Growth Grades 7-12</u>, (2005b), Corwin Press, co-author Gayle Gregory. (Bestseller)
- <u>Differentiated Literacy Strategies for Student Growth Grades K-6</u>, (2005a) Corwin Press, co-author Gayle Gregory. (Bestseller)
- <u>Data Driven Differentiation in the Standards Based Classroom</u>, (2004) Corwin Press, co-author Gayle Gregory. (Bestseller)
- "Taking Professional Care of New Teachers," <u>CSDC Network News</u>, October 2001.
- <u>Data Driven Instruction</u>, (1998) Colorado: CBOCES.
- <u>Facilitating Teacher Evaluation in a Standards-Based Classroom</u>, (1996) Colorado: *CBOCES*, Co-authored with Randy Zila, Linda Gleckler and Pete Denzin.
- "Neurophysiological Development: A Review for Educators," <u>The Journal of Professional Studies, Vol. 5 No. 1</u>, Feb. 1980.